

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/902,662	07/12/2001	Gary Kuan-Hong Hsieh	ING 108	2011
75	90 03/25/2005		EXAM	INER
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C.			HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
Suite 500 1101 14 Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2134	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	09/902,662	HSIEH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Matthew Heneghan	2134		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a non. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 July 2001.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for al	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2001 is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No		
Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage		
application from the International B				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	. □	OTO 4423		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔛 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/902,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 have been examined.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "31" has been used to designate both the card and the card reader in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Each claim lacks a transitional phrase.

Regarding claim 4, it is being presumed that the portion of the claim after the word "characterized" is its limitations, being recited in an open-ended manner.

Regarding claim 8, it is being presumed that the portion of the claim after the first semi-colon is its limitations, being recited in an open-ended manner.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WIPO Publication No. 00/07339 to Ferrell et al.

Ferrell discloses a system wherein a smartcard is used to access a proxy server from which, based upon the information in the smartcard, URL's are selected and web pages accessed (see p. 9, lines 2-7 and p. 10, line 15 to p. 11, line 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2134

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO Publication No. 00/07339 to Ferrell et al. as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,273,996 to Weimer.

Regarding claim 1, Ferrell discloses the use of a smartcard reader (see p.8, lines 28-29), but does not give details of the operation of the reader.

Weimer discloses the use of a card reader polling (i.e. the period checking of status) signal for determining the validity of a card (see column 4, line 49 to column 5, line 14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the invention of Ferrell by using card reader polling, as disclosed by Weimer, for determining the validity of a card.

Regarding claim 2, Ferrell discloses the use of re-configurable passwords, beginning with a factory-set password (see p. 10, lines 1-8). The original password and subsequent ones constitute a succession. Several means for password input (such as a keyboard) are disclosed (see p. 8, lines 25-27).

As per claim 3, data for automatically opening the browser is disclosed (see p. 10, lines 10-13).

As per claims 5-7, 10, 11, and 13, the system is implemented using a microcontroller (see p. 7, line 27 to p. 8, line 29).

Art Unit: 2134

As per claims 8-9, a PCMCIA modem is included, enabling the disclosed Internet access (see p. 7, line 20).

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO Publication No. 00/07339 to Ferrell et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,273,996 to Weimer as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,968,142 to Frederic.

Ferrell and Weimer do not address what is done when a system loses contact with a smartcard.

Frederic discloses a system wherein a logoff procedure is initiated upon removal of a smartcard (see abstract), and suggests that use of a computer may require the presence of a smartcard (see column 1, lines 38-40).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ferrell and Weimer by logging off after losing contact with the smartcard, as disclosed by Frederic, as the use of a computer may require the presence of a smartcard.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO Publication No. 00/07339 to Ferrell et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,273,996 to Weimer as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,452,616 to De Vito et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,662 Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

Ferrell and Weimer disclose a smartcard where multiple passwords may be stored, but do not explicitly state that two or more associated passwords are stored simultaneously.

De Vito discloses a system wherein multiple passwords for conditional access are stored on a smartcard and suggests that multiple passwords may be necessary, such as a parental lock passwords and a home shopping services password (see column 7, lines 12-34).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ferrell and Weimer by using multiple passwords, as disclosed by De Vito, as they may be necessary to access different functionalities.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Application/Control Number: 09/902,662 Page 7

Art Unit: 2134

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH Sort

March 18, 2005

The later was